



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/647,461 5/3/96

Kevin McDermott

None

EXAMINER

Mack Haynes

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2215

08/647,461

DATE MAILED:

7

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin McDermott Kev 22 (3) Mathew Spark STEPHEN F. HUSAR  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(2) Stephen Husar (4) Mack Haynes ART UNIT 346

(5) Joseph Williams Joseph Williams

Date of interview 1/21/98

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description: Actual models of applicant's light possession of design. Applicant retained the models

Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: Bitner (US 2224178) Application #'s 08/642,447 631,821 643213 642,596  
642,601 647,461 628,288

Identification of prior art discussed: Bitner (US 2224178) : Sakai et al. (US 4,698,873):

Harris (US 2254961)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the difference between the parabola shape of Sakai vs. instant application. Applicant agreed to rework claims to distinguish the structure over prior art. The issue of double patenting may be overcome by the current amendments or through a terminal disclaimer. Applicant did clearly distinguish instant applications over Sakai. Applicant will submit sup. amendments within two weeks

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.